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**Participants:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
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|  |  |

1. WELCOME AND REMINDER OF COMPETITION LAW

The Chairman, Ad Jespers opened the meeting by welcoming the attendees to the web -conference.   
The rules of the Competition law were reminded, and all agreed to adhere.

1. 1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES & REVIEW OF ACTIONS OF LAST MEETING (20 OCT 2020)

The Minutes were approved with the amendments made under 5.3 and 5.5 (draft version 2 available on Extranet).

**2.2. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

2 points had been added to the agenda as following:  
 - Under the Charter 4.1: Perforated sleeves  
 - As a new 7.1: Liquid detergent capsules: proposal for discussion on new safe use icons

1. KEY TOPICS *(FOR DISCUSSION)*
   1. **European Green deal**: recent developments (S.Nissen, M.Temsamani, J.Robinson)
      1. Chemical Strategy for Sustainability and Zero-pollution action plan: feedback from the Extra-ordinary MC meeting on 13 November 2020 and next steps

Jan Robinson presented the status of activity in the CSS/Zero Pollution Steering Group (see slides) and confirmed that its prioritisation of the CSS Action Plan was now considered validated following consultation of the Management Committee. A.I.S.E.’s interest in joint industry activities and impact assessment project has also been notified to Cefic as agreed and further information has been requested on the latter, if possible, in time for the Board meeting on 2 December.   
There were no comments or questions from the Management Committee. The CSS/ZP SG will now proceed to start analysing the CSS actions in greater depth, and also to discuss an A.I.S.E. response to the public consultation on the Zero Pollution Action Plan.

* + 1. Circular Economy Action Plan (CEAP)   
       1. EP report  
          The European Parliament is working on the non-legislative Own-Initiative-Report on the new Circular Economy Action Plan. A.I.S.E. has been active in advocating with relevant Members of the European Parliament by proposing and supporting amendments. The main priority areas identified by A.I.S.E. are European definition of recyclability; reinvestment of economic revenues in improvement of waste infrastructure; and digital, clearer and simpler label information on safe use of products to be integrated beyond the environmental aspects. The EP ENVI Committee is expected to finalise and vote its Report in January 2021.
       2. Substantiation of green claims   
          S. Nissen introduced the topic, referring to A.I.S.E.’s contribution to the public consultations on both initiatives, the Substantiation of Green claims based on PEF/OEF methods (legislative proposal expected Q2/2021) and Empowering consumers (+ public buyers) in the green transition (legislative proposal expected Q2/2021). Our contribution includes an in-principle support to substantiate environmental claims through PEF/OEF as a European-wide harmonised LCA-based approach. However, learnings from our PEF pilot are that the method is not mature to provide specific product comparable information (i.e. individual quantitative PEF results) to consumers for sustainable purchase decisions; instead, we can demonstrate that marking a product with a label, such as the product Charter logo, based on LCA and science + involving 3rd party verification, can fulfil the objectives of both Commission initiatives for our industry sector already today.

- *Charter and PEF method*The SSG has started with an evaluation on the use of PEF as method for assessment of environmental impact categories, also in the context of the Charter; the proposed approach was confirmed by the Management Committee. The activities covered are on one hand of more strategic nature, such as our positioning concerning Commission plans and requests on the implementation of the PEF method, also with view on the USEtox method. On the other hand, some technical aspects will have to be sorted out, e.g. a validation, whether the PEF method can be used to identify most relevant environmental impacts for Charter product categories (see presentation for details).

***ACTION:***

***- SSG to proceed accordingly.***

- *Exchange with industry sectors*A session with 17 industry sectors, incl. Eurocommerce, FoodDrinks Europe, EUROPEN, and Cosmetics Europe, was organised on 13 November to gather information on the current use of the PEF method in the industry. In addition, participants started to share their position on the PEF method and its potential implementation with regard to the two upcoming legislative proposals and beyond. It is foreseen, to continue this exchange, and a next meeting has been scheduled for 10 December. One output of this exchange may result in a common industry position paper.

* + - 1. Sustainable Products Initiative

A Public consultation on this initiative is expected for Q1 2020, and A.I.S.E. is going to reply. The legislative proposal is expected for Q4/2021. A.I.S.E.’s position paper on the initiative was submitted to the Commission on 3 November, including:

- A recommendation to exclude the vast majority of A.I.S.E. product categories from the planned widening of the Ecodesign Directive because focus of the renewed Directive should be on those product categories for which Ecodesign is the most appropriate regulatory tool.

- A strong suggestion to consider industry voluntary initiatives or self-regulation as an effective approach to demonstrate compliance with product sustainability principles, given that self-regulation and voluntary initiatives have resulted in major and measurable environmental improvements.

- In the context of digitalisation, a reference to our pan-European consumer surveys and findings, which demonstrate the need to get product labels to be further optimised and to move towards digital tools for supplementary information.

* + - 1. Packaging & Packaging Waste Directive

During the MC extraordinary meeting on 13 Nov, the MC was informed that the Packaging TF and the SSG are currently preparing answers to a European Commission Public Consultation (deadline 6 Jan 2020). This will be aligned with A.I.S.E.’s position on the roadmap, which was submitted end of July. A joint meeting of the Advocacy SG, the SSG and the Packaging TF has been scheduled for 14 December, where A.I.S.E.’s contribution will be finalised.

* + 1. Climate Action

The MC was updated on recent activities, based on the June and Oct Board mandate that covers climate action, incl. the strong suggestion of the October Board to shift climate action from priority B to A.

The ToR of the Climate TF – with so far only 3 companies represented – are being prepared. Taking a science-based approach, it is considered to come up with proposals, addressing all relevant life-cycle stages. First focus will be on the use and production phase, looking on mid-term also into upstream activities, incl. sourcing. In a first step, it will be essential to quantify the climate footprint of A.I.S.E.’s product portfolio, building on available studies. The LCA expert panel will be involved in this work. Furthermore, the TF will prepare an A.I.S.E. position on climate change mitigation.

***ACTION:***

*- Climate TF to proceed accordingly.*

* + 1. Other developments  
       See agenda item ‘5.5 EU Consumer Agenda’.

1. REGULATORY/SUSTAINABILITY
   1. **Charter 2020: Charter Technical Advisory Board – ToR *(for approval)***  (S.Nissen)

The MC approved the Terms of Reference for the Charter Technical Advisory Panel, which had been provided with the pre-reading. This new group will be appointed to improve the governance of the Charter. The idea is to strengthen the Charter members’ engagement and in parallel reduce efforts of the Sustainability Steering Group so it can focus on more strategic aspects.

After Board approval in December, A.I.S.E. will launch a call for nominations, so that the new structure can be implemented officially as of January 2021.

***ACTION:  
- Launch call for nominations after Board approval (A.I.S.E. team)***

* 1. **Detergents Regulation** (G.Sebastio)

On 21 October, the Commission held the meeting of the member states experts on the Detergent Regulation. The Commission presented the two studies being planned as follow up to the ex-post review of the Detergent regulation: i) Commission study on Digitalization of labelling and IT tools, ii) Impact Assessment on the Detergent Regulation. Additionally, the Commission tabled a proposal for a delegated act amending the Detergent Regulation to align preservatives labelling to BPR.   
The MC had some questions on the delegated act amending the Detergent Regulation to align preservatives labelling to BPR:  
- *What is the effect of the proposal?*The effect of aligning the Detergent regulation labelling of preservatives to BPR, would be that preservatives would be labelled unless it can be demonstrated they have no effect on sensitized persons (CLP limits) or the preservation of the product (BPR). This is in line with the A.I.S.E. position and was supported by the MC.  
- *The legal reading from the Commission.*  
The legal reading from the Commission was the “worse-case” of what could have been interpreted from the legal text. The history of this topic was that a company had asked a MS if a very low value of preservative needed to be labelled on-pack. The Member State had replied that since the legislation states “irrespective of concentration” it would need to be labelled. This interpretation did not align fully with the A.I.S.E. Guidance and the point was brought to the Commission. After two years, the Commission decided that the legal interpretation was that all preservatives of which a company is aware would have to be labelled, regardless of concentration, even those that are present at levels which have no effect on sensitized persons or the preservation of the product.  
- *Why do Member States have this interpretation?*  
Some Member States have a misconception of the allergy impact from Detergents. Some MS also have concerns on the communication in the supply chain on the presence of preservatives in ingredients.  
The MC agreed that engagement with MS was key to this topic, to explain our position and understand their concerns.  
- *Timing? Are Member States in time to engage for the Delegated Act?*  
A question was asked on the timing for engagement of the NAC. G. Sebastio explained that for the Delegated Act, the deadline that was given by the Commission of three weeks had passed. When asking the Commission if the deadline would be extended since not all MS had been made aware of the deadline, the Commission stated they had received all replies they expected. The unconfirmed feeling of Secretariat was that the proposal to align the labelling of preservatives to the Detergent Regulation to BPR would not move forward as Delegated act. Instead, it could be incorporated in the planned Impact Assessment to the Detergent Regulation.

A third initiative by the Commission concerns the Roadmap of fragrance allergens: Commission is planning an impact assessment to start in January 2021, no information yet available on the content.

Next steps in the short term: A.I.S.E. to engage further with the NAC in December and provide them with input to engage with their authorities as they see fit. Next meeting of the A.I.S.E. Detergent WG to be scheduled for December.

ACTION*:*   
- ***share full set of arguments on the Detergent Regulation with the A.I.S.E. National Associations (A.I.S.E.).***

* 1. **Microplastics** (J.Robinson)

ECHA’s Committee on Socio-Economic Analysis (SEAC) will adopt its final opinion on the restriction proposal on intentionally added microplastics on 8 December. A.I.S.E. and IFRA will intervene again concerning the transition period for encapsulated fragrances, which is proposed to be left open (5 or 8 years). The final combined opinions of RAC and SEAC will then be forwarded to the European Commission to begin development of a new entry for REACH Annex XVII.

After producing documents for technical advocacy and internal guidance, the Microplastics TF will wind down: responsibility for this dossier within A.I.S.E. will shift to the Advocacy Steering Group, and in some respects, to other relevant technical groups, e.g. REACH Implementation WG, Polymers Task Force.

The Cross Industry Agreement intends to publish its communication brochure before the end of 2020, to place its achievements and the state of knowledge on fibre release on the public record ahead of policy initiatives on secondary microplastics anticipated in the Circular Economy or Zero Pollution Action Plans. A question was raised on whether releases of microplastics from tumble drying has been considered (essentially condenser dryers, which may result in emissions to water). The CIA methodology is solely for comparison of fibre release during simulated washing, and with water only (detergents are not currently in scope). This question can be passed on to the other CIA signatories; it should not be in A.I.S.E.’s focus, which should only be on chemical effects during laundry. M. Temsamani informed that there is an EU Textiles Strategy, which seeks to stimulate sustainable development of textiles and should be very much in the focus of the textiles sector association Euratex.

* 1. **REACH topics:**
     1. Polymers Requiring Registration (J.Robinson)  
        The Management Committee was updated on the progress of discussions in the CARACAL Sub-Group and the work of the A.I.S.E. Polymers TF (refer to slides). It was asked what the timeline will be for a legislative proposal: the Secretariat advised that under the Chemicals Strategy for Sustainability, the Commission intends to put forward a proposal in Q4 2022 with all targeted amendments to REACH, including registration of polymers among others. This could potentially be adopted before the end of 2023.  
        It was queried whether this amendment would oblige the Commission to align the definition of ‘placing on the market’ in REACH (and CLP) with other legislation such as the regulations on cosmetics and biocidal products. There is no such obligation, but the re-opening of these legal texts could provide an opportunity for industry to propose additional changes that it would like to see.
     2. Mixture Assessment Factor (J.Robinson)

The Management Committee was informed about the status of discussions on this concept (refer to slides). Previous written comments to CARACAL by DUCC have emphasised that numerous safety factors are already incorporated into chemical safety assessments, however the MAF is intended as an additional safety factor to take account of combined exposures and is intentionally precautionary, since it is considered impossible to analyse all potential interactions in humans or in the environment.

It was clarified that the MAF will not only be part of guidance but is proposed in the CSS to be incorporated as a legal provision in Annex I to REACH. It would be included in the legislative proposal to amend REACH mentioned in 4.4.1 above (expected Q4 2022), so it will come very soon. The Management Committee agreed that the impact of this measure would be very large and was reassured that it is on the agenda of the RIWG and in DUCC and is being taken seriously.

* + 1. SPERCs (G.Sebastio)

**Relevant for companies with high volume manufacturing plants of granular detergents and low viscosity liquids (large scale >10,000t product/a) – both consumer and PC&H:**SPERCs are part of the Use Maps and support REACH registrants to adequately carry out realistic environmental exposure assessments for raw materials. The existing SPERC factsheets have been challenged for a long time by authorities (Member States/ECHA) for lack of transparency. Thus, industry sectors, including A.I.S.E. committed to a revamp of their documents. Between 2018-2020, A.I.S.E has been revamping its four SPERC documents, three of which have neared completion. However, the A.I.S.E. SPERC TF concluded that the last document for ERC 2 should be subject to a significant revision.   
In particular the values relating to the A.I.S.E. SPERCs 2.1.a and g (high volume manufacturing plants of granular detergents and low viscosity liquids). The impact of this revision is that the maximum allowed use values for the mentioned formulations would be reduced by a factor of five.

The technical experts for environment in the SPERC TF, the ESC TF and also the RIWG have been contacted already to understand whether the update to ERC 2 can be accepted. As an alternative, a data collection project would need to be proposed along with a revamp of the SPERC TF. This point is thus raised to the MC, to ask you to connect with the relevant experts in the SPERC TF, ESC TF and RIWG so that A.I.S.E. may assess whether:

- To Update the ERC 2 document and complete the work of the SPERC TF OR  
- To proceed with a data collection project and revamp of the SPERC TF.

On 23 November, the request was shared in writing with additional details, including a questionnaire that would be sent to manufacturing sites to exemplify how data collection would be carried out.

***ACTION:   
- Send reply to Secretariat (giulia.sebastio@aise.eu) by 15 December.*** The reply should include names of experts to commit to the SPERC TF and a data collection project. Only if a significant portion of companies with high volume manufacturing plants of granular detergents and low viscosity liquids agree to data collection, will A.I.S.E. proceed with a data collection project. Otherwise, the SPERCs will be updated.

An MC member stated that work of the SPERCs is important, also in context of what the Commission is discussing under REACH in the Green Deal (e.g. Mixture Assessment Factor).

* 1. **ERASM**  (J.Robinson)

The Management Committee endorsed the nomination of Ana Gaspar of Colgate Palmolive to the ERASM Steering Committee, with thanks to CP for their return to the research platform. This will be reported to the meeting of the ERASM SC on 25 November.

* 1. **Perforated sleeves** (S.Nissen/A.Weber)

S. Nissen shared that in the Packaging TF meeting on 16 November 2020, the topic of perforated full body sleeves was re-discussed because of a temporary endorsement awarded by the Technical Committee of the European PET Bottle Platform (EBPB) for full body sleeves of Household and Personal Care PET bottles. He presented that this temporary endorsement holds until February 2022, requesting double perforated sleeves, a standardised perforation concept, a harmonised consumer message, a communication campaign as well as tracking of progress on consumer engagement.

It was reported that at the meeting of the Packaging TF it was therefore recommended to A.I.S.E. to develop a standardised easy to remove double perforation concept, a harmonised icon together with a standardised consumer message and possibly a ‘zipper’ artwork, and to share this with Cosmetics Europe. Moreover, the development of a communication campaign as well as reporting via the Charter KPIs on the effectiveness of the consumer engagement in removing the sleeves were recommended.

After having brought the topic to the SSG, S. Nissen described that it was agreed to the first four actions, i.e. developing a perforation concept, a harmonised icon based on existing work, a consumer message, and to share this with Cosmetics Europe. However, the SSG agreed that a communication campaign should not be led by our industry sector but rather by national EPR schemes, and that because of the complexity and different nature of the reporting of consumer behaviour vs. the Charter company-related KPI reporting, this should not be integrated in the latter. The MC agreed with this approach.

The MC was informed that therefore the next steps will include, by working together with the A.I.S.E. communications team, to brief the design agency on the developments and request one or more harmonised icon(s) based on existing work done as well as a ‘zipper’ artwork, to elaborate a consumer standardised message. Once this is available, it can be shared within the industry sector as well as with Cosmetics Europe. This was agreed by the MC

In the following discussion, it was highlighted that, since the temporary endorsement is focused exclusively on Household and Personal Care, with no harmonised concept available so far, it is up to A.I.S.E. to take action for our industry sector. The question was raised in how far other polymers, such as HDPE bottles are concerned, and it was decided to clarify with the Packaging TF.

On another note, F. van Tiggelen raised the issue of having to translate the consumer message into different languages, and it was decided to develop an icon that is in any case self-descriptive.

*ACTIONS:   
- Follow up on next steps (Packaging TF and A.I.S.E. communications team).  
- Clarify with Packaging TF whether other polymers than PET should be covered as well (A.I.S.E. team) .*

1. ADVOCACY AND COMMUNICATION
   1. **Digitalisation update** (G.Sebastio/V.Séjourné)

Ingredient messaging TF:

The work of the ingredient messaging TF has progressed. The basic structure of the database was agreed, and data collection is nearing completion for the first set of 100 ingredients. The data was collected by consultants and includes assessments by four key consumer apps/media commenting our products and REACH data. Budget spent so far is 9700 Euro.  
Given current perceived constraints on resources of both members and Secretariat, A.I.S.E. would like to propose to focus on these 100 ingredients to progress the structure and content of the database in the short term. As a next step, to allow members time to provide quality input, a longer call will be proposed in January 2021. This will be an important meeting and A.I.S.E. emphasises the need to participate to this meeting.

**ACTION:   
- Follow up with colleagues and ensure participation to the meeting of the TF in January (MC members).** Post-meeting note: A doodle has been circulated.

The MC was also informed that A.I.S.E. was about to select a consultant in order to complete its knowledge on the IT solutions that exist. This consultant will be retained in December and progress its work in the first quarter of 2021. In parallel, the Commission is due to appoint its consultants for its 2 studies. An informal workshop will be organised in January 21 with other CLP-related sectors so as to share each other’s perspective and interest to the study.

* 1. **Hygiene Communication project** (V. Séjourné)
* V. Séjourné shared progress made over the last weeks/months (cf PPT), with notably the release internally (for feedback from Biocides WG/Hygiene Comms Experts, cc Management Committee till 10 Dec. 20) of the joint A.I.S.E./IFH report entitled: “Developing household hygiene to meet 21st century needs: A collaborative industry/academia report on cleaning and disinfection in homes & Analysis of European consumers’ hygiene beliefs and behaviour in 2020”. This report is also being circulated in parallel for input from other members of IFH, in addition to Sally Bloomfield who has written the current draft with the A.I.S.E. secretariat.
* The structure (i.e. common principles and definition first, followed by an analysis of the consumer habits data observed in 2020) and a summary of the main recommendations of the report were shared. These include the need to follow up with education to consumers on the topic of hygiene and targeted hygiene, but it also hints at the opportunities that exists for a more harmonised and clearer messaging to consumers on products (cf claims). V.Séjourné also informed the MC that members’ interest to possibly commission 2 additional guidance on laundry care and surface care with COVID-19 to a group of academia was being sought, also for feedback by 10 Dec.
* Based on input from experts, the report and above potential guidance needs will be submitted for final approval to the Board, hopefully early 2021 as well as the proposed follow-up strategy for the future actions by Industry.
* MC was also informed that some infographics were under preparation, as those are to some extent a summary of the report, the Hygiene Comms TF (and possibly Board) advice will be sought on the opportunity for A.I.S.E. to release them on 2 December at the occasion of the A.I.S.E. Forum.
* In addition, MC was also informed that a video on the benefits of the A.I.S.E. product portfolio for hygiene, as well one on Target Hygiene were being finalised and would be released on 2 Dec.
  1. **Cleaning & Hygiene Forum 2 December update** (V.Séjourné/M.Temsamani)

An update on preparations was shared as well as status of registered person (about 300).

* 1. **EU Consumer Agenda (**M.Temsamani)

The European Commission published on 13 November the “[New Consumer Agenda](https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_20_2069)” which presents a vision for EU consumer policy from 2020 to 2025, building on the previous 2012 Consumer Agenda as well as the objectives of the EU Green Deal. The Agenda covers five key priority areas (see below) and recommends 22 separate policy actions:

***(1) The green transition;***

***(2) The digital transformation;***

***(3) Redress and enforcement of consumer rights;***

***(4) Specific needs of certain consumer groups; and***

***(5) International cooperation.***

From the 22 listed Actions in the [Communication](https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/com-2020-696_new_consumer_agenda.pdf), A.I.S.E. has started a preliminary assessment  which confirms that some of these initiatives are of high interest to the industry (see relevant email from M.Temsamani). Members were updated on the secretariat’s assessment of the relevant initiatives. Regarding Action 5 on voluntary pledges for companies, members agreed that the framework to join seems too restrictive and prescriptive. For this reason, it is unlikely companies would commit. Nevertheless, members that are considering joining were asked to inform the secretariat.

* 1. **Political and communication plan 2021-2022, and** (V.Séjourné/M.Temsamani) **Advocacy Priorities**

The plan sent as pre reading was approved by MC members.

1. GOVERNANCE *(FOR DISCUSSION)*

**Review of the A.I.S.E. Annual Work Programme 2020-2021 and** (S.Zänker)  
**overview of EU Commission 2021 work programme**The revised work programme as presented through the slides and the excel sheet was adopted by the MC. As to the FTE, it was mentioned that in 2021, it is expected to have again a full team at A.I.S.E., i.e. 15 FTE, which should allow to tackle the work programme and expected additions linked to the EU programme in particular consultations relevant for our sector linked to the Green Deal.

For the Board meeting it was suggested to merge the presentation of the Political ad Communication plan 2021 – 2022 with the work programme 2020 - 2021 into one presentation and to streamline it.

1. FOR INFORMATION ONLY
   1. **Safe use icons for LDC** (V.Séjourné)

Refer to the pre-readings

* 1. **Enzymes – Global Safe Handling Guidance with HCPA/ACI** (G.Sebastio)

Refer to the pre-readings

* 1. **Drain Cleaners** (G.Sebastio)

Refer to the pre-readings

* 1. **BPR assessment project** (E.Cazelle)

The survey on BPR implementation will be sent on 17 November to the A.I.S.E. membership. As mentioned before the aim of this questionnaire is to identify/confirm challenges and areas for improvement based on industry experience. This survey being one of the pillars of this project, it is important that as many companies as possible take part in this survey. National Associations are kindly invited to forward the questionnaire to their members.

* 1. **REACH Review Action 3:** draft development plan (J.Robinson)

Refer to the pre-reading.

* 1. **UN GHS** (J.Robinson)

Refer to the pre-readings

* 1. **Corporate website revamp**  (V.Séjourné)

Refer to the pre-readings

* 1. **PC&H: update** (L.Dunauskiene)

Refer to the pre-readings

1. NEXT MEETING DATES

Monday 14 December 2000, 11:00-12:30 Extra-ordinary MC web conference on Green Deal

Tuesday 19 January 2021- 10:30- 16:00 - Brussels (A.I.S.E.) or web conference tbc

Tuesday 23 Feb 2021 - 10:30-16:00 – Web conference \*

Tuesday 23 March 2021 (+ joint lunch with MC) - 10:30-13:00 - Brussels (A.I.S.E.)

Tuesday 23 March (joint meeting with NAC) - 13:30-16:30 Brussels (A.I.S.E.)

Tuesday 20 April 2021 - 10:30-16:00 – Webconference \*

Tuesday 18 May 2021 - 10:30-16:00 - Brussels (A.I.S.E.)

Tuesday 29 June 2021 - 10:30-16:00 – Webconference \*

Tuesday 7 September 2021 - 10:30-16:00 - Brussels (A.I.S.E.)

Tuesday 19 October 2021 - 10:30-16:00 - Webconference

Tuesday 23 November 2021 - 10:30-16:00 - Brussels (A.I.S.E.)

\*Please note: It was agreed by the MC to prolong those meetings to dedicate a part of the meeting to the Green Deal topics.
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